

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,145	04/15/2004	Yngve HAGBERG	7589.159.PCUS00	3144
28694 NOVAK DRU	7590 09/12/2007 CE & QUIGG, LLP	EXAMINER		
1300 EYE STREET NW			KAPLAN, HAL IRA	
SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exensions of unbrary be available and the provision of 15 PCR 1-1369, in no event, however, may a muly be limby filled if NO period for ringly is appoiled above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply which the set or extended period for ringly is appoiled above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply which the set or extended period for ringly is appoiled above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply which the set or extended period for reply as a specified above, the maximum statutory and the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search patent term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) [Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) [Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) [Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application, 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) [Claim(s) 1-3 and 13-21 is/are rejected. 7) [Claim(s) 1-3 and 13-21 is/are allowed. 8)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Hall it Raptan 2836 Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 18 10 period for reby is apposled above, the nearorum statutory prior of which is continuous and the statutory prior of the prior of the mailing date of this communication. 18 10 period for reby is apposled above, the nearorum statutory prior of which is continuous and the prior of the mailing date of this communication. 18 10 period for reby is apposled above, the nearorum statutory prior of which is continuous and the prior of the prior of the mailing date of this communication. 18 10 period for reby is apposled above, the nearorum statutory prior of which is continuous and the prior of the mailing date of this communication. 19 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>QT May 2007</i> . 29 This action is FINAL. 20 This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 463 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-21 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-21 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-21 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-21 is/are rejected to. 10 The drawing(s) field on Q4 August 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3 Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece		10/709,145	HAGBERG ET AL.				
The MAILING GATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of term may be available under the approximant of 3° CFR 1.1961, in no event, however, may really be timely field. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maintimus statutions pecified will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply which his est or extended period for reply its patiature, based will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the stor extended period for reply its patiature, based will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the stor extended period for reply its patiature, based will be applicated to the communication. Failure to reply within the stor extended period for reply its patiature, based will be applicated by SIX (19) SIX	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if the period crays is specified above, the maximus date of this communication or the property within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, peaks the application to recommendation or period or property will, by statutor, and the period of the communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, peaks the application to recommendation that the mainting date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, cause the application to recommendation Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, cause the application to recommendation Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, and the set of the communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, and the set of the communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, and the set of the communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, and the set of the communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, and the set of the communication Failure to reply within the set of the set of the set of the communication The set of the set of the set of the set of the communication The set of the set of the period for reply will, by statutor, and the set of		Hal I. Kaplan	2836				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementors of time may be waited under the proteined of 37 cPt 1.13(b). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely field after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 cPt 1.13(b). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely field after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 cPt 1.13(b). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely field after stand times of the communication of the stand of the standard	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-2 and 16-21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 and 16-21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 and 16-21 is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6, ☐ Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 M	av 2007					
3	<u> </u>	· ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· <u> </u>		osecution as to the merits is				
Algorithms 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12 and 16-21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 4 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	,	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 12 and 16-21 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date □ Solve United Copies of Cherce □ Solve United Cherce □ Solve □ S							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other: Shotice of Informal Patent Application		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12 and 16-21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other: Shotice of Informal Patent Application							
7)							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some oleman of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:		•	•				
Pictation Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Autochamber (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date		e election requirement	•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other:	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application for Cher:	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colongy of the priority documents have been received. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \(\frac{PCT/SE02/01790}{PCT/SE02/01790}. \] 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \] 2) \[\sum \text{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)} \] 3) \[\sum \text{Interview Summary (PTO-413)} \] Paper No(s)/Mail Date \[\sum \] 5) \[\sum \text{Notice of Informal Patent Application} \] Paper No(s)/Mail Date \[\sum \] 6) \[\sum \text{Other: \(\sum \)} \]	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application ☐ Other:	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. PCT/SE02/01790.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Attachment(s) 1) Mileting of References Cited (RTO 902) 4) Intension Summan (RTO 413)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/709,145

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2836

4. Claims 1, 3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the US patent of Krishna (6,515,240) in view of the US patent of Hiwatahi (4,146,758).

As to claim 1, Krishna discloses a rotary light switch (11) for vehicles configured to be oriented between a plurality of fixed rotational positions (91-95) for operating a plurality of different lighting groups (see column 5, lines 38-41; column 6, lines 49-53; and Figure 3) and a plurality of spring-loaded (62) axial positions also for operating a plurality of different lighting groups, and wherein a first axial position with a corresponding first lighting function is activated by a pulling movement from a neutral ("off") position (see column 2, lines 31-57). Krishna does not disclose an axial position with a corresponding lighting function activated by a pushing movement.

Hiwatahi discloses a rotary switch (16) configured to be oriented between a plurality of fixed rotational positions (channels) and a plurality of spring-loaded axial positions (channels 1-20 or 21-40), wherein a first axial position with a corresponding first function is activated by a pushing movement (see column 2, lines 23-48 and 56-60, and Figures 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the switch of Krishna by adding an additional function activated by a pushing movement from the neutral position, in order to increase the functionality without requiring an additional switch or having to make the switch significantly larger in size.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,145

Art Unit: 2836

As to claim 3, an activated axial position of the switch of Krishna is indicated by an illuminated symbol (20,91-95) (see column 2, lines 58-60; column 5, lines 32-41; column 6, lines 47-57; and Figure 3).

As to claim 9, the first and second axial positions of Krishna correspond to two different fog lamp functions (see column 6, lines 51-52 and 56-57).

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishna in view of Hiwatahi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the US patent of Schultz (3,500,120).

As to claim 2, Krishna in view of Hiwatahi disclose the functions of switched off, parking lights, and headlamps (see column 5, lines 38-40 and Figure 3), but do not disclose the function of headlamps with auxiliary light. Schultz discloses a switch wherein the switch positions correspond to lighting functions of switched off, parking lights, headlamps, and headlamps with auxiliary light (see column 6, lines 39-53 and column 7, lines 57-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the switch of Krishna in view of Hiwatahi to include the function of headlamps with auxiliary light, in order to allow a user to control auxiliary lights in conjunction with the headlamps.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishna in view of Hiwatahi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the US patent of Williams et al. (4,900,946).

As to claim 10, Krishna in view of Hiwatahi disclose all of the claimed features,

Art Unit: 2836

as set forth above, except for the claimed headlamp interrupt and marker interrupt functions. Williams discloses a switch wherein first and second positions correspond to the functions headlamp interrupt and marker interrupt (see column 2, lines 38-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have built the switch of Krishna in view of Hiwatahi with the axial positions corresponding to the functions headlamp interrupt and marker interrupt, in order to allow a user to control these functions without requiring an additional switch.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 11-21 allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 11-21 are allowed because none of the prior art of record discloses or suggests the combination of :

- (a) activating a first function by a first pushing movement in from a neutral position;
- (b) deactivating the first function by a second pushing movement in from the neutral position;
- (c) activating a second function by a first pulling movement in from the neutral position; and
- (d) deactivating the second function by a second pulling movement out from the neutral position;

in combination with the remaining claimed features.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,145

Art Unit: 2836

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hal I. Kaplan whose telephone number is 571-272-8587. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

hik

MICHAEL SHERRY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER